Sun et al. Journal of Hematology & Oncology

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-021-01217-9

# **Open Access**

# WDR26 and MTF2 are therapeutic targets in multiple myeloma

(2021) 14:203



Fumou Sun<sup>1†</sup>, Yan Cheng<sup>1†</sup>, Jesse D. Riordan<sup>2</sup>, Adam Dupuy<sup>2</sup>, Wendy Dubois<sup>3</sup>, Michael Pisano<sup>1,4</sup>, Jing Dong<sup>1,5</sup>, Beverly Mock<sup>3</sup>, Fenghuang Zhan<sup>6</sup>, Parameswaran Hari<sup>1,5</sup> and Siegfried Janz<sup>1,5\*</sup>

## Abstract

Unbiased genetic forward screening using retroviral insertional mutagenesis in a genetically engineered mouse model of human multiple myeloma may further our understanding of the genetic pathways that govern neoplastic plasma cell development. To evaluate this hypothesis, we performed a tumor induction study in MYC-transgenic mice infected as neonates with the Moloney-derived murine leukemia virus, MOL4070LTR. Next-generation DNA sequencing of proviral genomic integration sites yielded rank-ordered candidate tumor progression genes that accelerated plasma cell neoplasia in mice. Rigorous clinical and biological validation of these genes led to the discovery of two novel myeloma genes: *WDR26* (WD repeat-containing protein 26) and *MTF2* (metal response element binding transcription factor 2). WDR26, a core component of the carboxy-terminal to LisH (CTLH) complex, is overexpressed or mutated in solid cancers. MTF2, an ancillary subunit of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), is a close functional relative of PHD finger protein 19 (PHF19) which is currently emerging as an important driver of myeloma. These findings underline the utility of genetic forward screens in mice for uncovering novel blood cancer genes and suggest that WDR26-CTLH and MTF2-PRC2 are promising molecular targets for new approaches to myeloma treatment and prevention.

**Keywords:** Forward genetic screen, Moloney murine leukemia virus, Plasma cell neoplasia, Carboxy-terminal to LisH (CTLH) complex, Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2)

## To the Editor,

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a common blood cancer derived from terminally differentiated B-lymphocytes called plasma cells (PCs). Despite recent advancements in treatment options, MM remains incurable in the great majority of cases, with no more than half of patients surviving past 5 years [1]. Reasons for poor outcome include tumor heterogeneity and severe limitations in our knowledge base on genetic pathways that drive neoplastic PC development from an early progenitor stage to frank

<sup>†</sup>Fumou Sun and Yan Cheng have contributed equally

<sup>1</sup> Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 Watertown Plank Road, MFRC 6033, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA malignancy. Unbiased genetic forward screening using proviral insertional mutagenesis [2] in a dedicated mouse model of human myeloma may lend itself to attacking this knowledge gap. Here, we employ this approach, for the first time, to discover two candidate genes that may yield new opportunities for molecularly targeted myeloma treatments: *WDR26* (WD repeat-containing protein 26) and *MTF2* (metal response element binding transcription factor 2).

Our experimental strategy for detecting presumptive therapeutic targets in MM is depicted in Fig. 1a. The first step was a tumor induction study in  $iMyc^{\Delta E\mu}$ mice, a gene-insertion model of the chromosomal T(12;15) translocation that results in deregulated expression of *Myc* in B-lineage cells [3]. Because T(12;15) is a tumor-initiating event in mouse plasmacytoma [4] and



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: sjanz@mcw.edu

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

upregulation of MYC is a well-established mechanism of tumor progression in human myeloma [5], the  $iMyc^{\Delta E\mu}$ transgene served as an ideal "sensitizer" for skewing the oncogenic potency of the murine leukemia virus (MuLV), MOL4070LTR, to plasmablasts and PCs. MOL4070LTR is a modified Moloney-MuLV that contains the LTR U3 enhancer region from the amphotropic MuLV, 4070A [6]. Infection of newborn  $iMyc^{\Delta E\mu}$  mice with MOL4070LTR resulted in accelerated tumor development (Fig. 1b): 51 of 68 (75%) virus-treated mice developed tumors by 210 days of age, whereas less than a quarter of untreated mice demonstrated malignant growth by 505 days. Histopathological tumor classification relied on immunostaining for T cell (CD3), B cell (Pax5, B220) and PC (CD138) markers to assign tumor-bearing mice with virus-accelerated neoplasms to the B-lineage (31%) or T-lineage (44%). A guarter of mice (25%) contained both B- and T-cell tumors (Fig. 1c). From all mice carrying B-lineage tumors (n=21), eight individual tumor samples (spleen plus peripheral and deep lymph nodes) were collected on average. Most tumors were categorized as plasmacytoma (Fig. 1d, left) or plasmablastic lymphoma (Fig. 1d, right) in accordance with the Bethesda proposal of lymphoid tumors in mice [7]. A total of 168 tumor specimens were analyzed for common retroviral insertion sites (CIS) as depicted in Additional file 1: Fig. S1. From nearly half a million mapped sequence reads, approximately 45 thousand proviral integration events were extracted. To unequivocally identify CIS, we used a biocomputational algorithm based on Monte Carlo statistics that considered both the number of independent integration sites in a given DNA window and the distance between the

Page 2 of 7

sites. We defined a CIS as the minimum genomic region in which 5 to 7 unique insertions were found to be significant at p < 0.05, provided that no more than two insertions were derived from the same tumor. CIS windows ranged from 10 to 40 kb, corresponding to the size of the transcriptional unit of the average mouse gene (~ 30 kb). A total of 171 CIS-tagged candidate genes were identified and rank ordered according to proviral insertion frequency. The top 100 genes are shown in Fig. 1e. Included are many genes one might have expected in a forward genetic screen of neoplastic PC development; e.g., *Ccnd2* on Chr 6, *Hras* on Chr 7 and *Myc* on Chr 15.

Bioinformatics analysis of the top 100 genes using STRING (string-db.org) demonstrated their tight association with the oncogenic MYC network (Fig. 1f). KEGG analysis (www.kegg.jp) revealed significant enrichment in cancer-relevant pathways including blood cancers such as AML and CML (Fig. 1g). GO analysis of biological processes (geneontology.org) demonstrated strong enrichment in pathways of hematopoiesis, hematopoietic or lymphoid organ development, and regulation of leukocyte differentiation (Fig. 1h). These results underscored the relevance of the top 100 genes for MM and encouraged us to narrow them down to the most promising candidates. This process began with two steps denoted "Filter 1" in Fig. 1a, top right. The first step asked the question whether *upregulation* of the human orthologs of the top 100 mouse genes predicted to be *upregulated* by proviral insertion might be associated with inferior survival in human myeloma. We chose the MMRF CoMMpass study to test for associations of gene expression and survival because this study evaluates outcomes in over

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Discovery of WDR26 and MTF2 in unbiased genetic forward screen using Myc-transgenic mice. a Schema of workflow that led to the nomination of WDR26 and MTF2 as candidate myeloma genes. Filters used to pare down the list of 100 input genes to 2 candidate genes are indicated on the right. **b** Accelerated tumor development in iMyc $^{\Delta E\mu}$  gene-insertion mice treated with MOL4070LTR (mean tumor onset 178±94 days; range 46–348 days) compared to mice not infected with virus (mean tumor onset 384±86 days; range 245–505 days). Virus was injected IP (5 × 10<sup>4</sup> colony forming units/10 μL) using a 30-gauge needle. **c** Tumor pattern in virus-infected mice from **b**. **d** Tissue section of plasmacytoma (left) and B lymphoma exhibiting plasmablastic differentiation (right) stained according to hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and immunostained using antibody to CD138, respectively. e Ideogrammatic representation of mouse autosomes plus chromosome X indicating the genomic location of the top 100 candidate B cell and plasma cell tumor genes detected. Genes that passed Filters 1, 2 and 3 in a are labeled using orange, green and red dots, respectively. Thin red or blue lines denote whether cRIS mapping predicts increased or decreased gene expression due to proviral insertion. f Network of MYC-interacting proteins visualized by STRING (Search Tool for the Retrival of Interacting Genes). Proteins (n = 27) that interact with MYC directly or indirectly are depicted in red or blue, respectively. The minimum required interaction score was 0.5. Red and black lines within the network circle denote direct and indirect interactions with MYC, respectively. The symbols for WDR26 and MTF2 are enlarged for enhanced visibility. Network visualization relied on Cytoscape 3.8.2. g KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathway analysis of the top 100 candidate genes. Enrichment scores are denoted by ovals which indicate both the number of pathway genes involved (count) and level of statistical significance (blue saturation). h GO (Gene Ontology) term enrichment analysis of biological processes using the top 100 candidate genes from a as input. i Magnitude of RNAi-dependent knockdown (KD) of WDR26 and MTF2 expression in three different HMCLs relative to HMCLs transfected with scrambled message (control). j Programmed cell death in HMCLs exhibiting low WDR26 or MTF2 expression (KD) compared to HMCLs cells containing normal message levels (control). Gene knockdown relied on Sigma MISSION® Endoribonuclease-prepared siRNAs (esiRNAs, 50 ng), a heterogeneous mix of siRNAs that target the same message. k Growth inhibition (PrestoBlue<sup>™</sup>) of HMCLs harboring low levels of WDR26 or MTF2 message. Genes were knocked down using Mission EHU esiRNAs 150,671 (WDR26) and 042,951 (MTF2)



one thousand patients in a publicly accessible fashion (https://research.themmrf.org). The second filtering step relied on the DepMap data explorer tool (depmap. org/portal), which provides CRISPR and RNAi dependency scores that indicate whether a gene of interest is important and functionally non-redundant in myeloma in vitro. Twenty-two of the top 100 mouse genes (labeled with colored dots in Fig. 1e) passed Filter 1. Next, we performed a rigorous PubMed analysis of the 22 genes for published evidence on their involvement in MM and related diseases ("Filter 2" in Fig. 1a). Only eight genes (green and red in Fig. 1e) promised novelty for myeloma. These candidates proceeded to "Filter 3" in Fig. 1a, which assessed whether shRNA-mediated knockdown (KD) of gene expression inhibited myeloma in cell culture. Three HMCLs were transfected with eight different Mission EHU esiRNAs, and gene KD was verified by qPCR in 8 of 8 cases (not shown). However, significant (p < 0.01) and consistent inhibition (in 3 of 3 cell lines) was only seen in two cases: WDR26 and MTF2 (Fig. 1i-k).

To validate WDR26 and MTF2 in greater depth, we gathered additional clinical and biological data (Fig. 2). Clinical results in support of the contention that *WDR26* and *MTF2* are important in MM include the circumstance that gene expression was upregulated in smoldering and frank myeloma (Fig. 2a), that message levels in the DREAM Challenge study [8] were elevated in high-risk compared to standard-risk myeloma (Fig. 2b) and that high amounts of mRNA in myeloma cells of CoMMpass patients predicted inferior overall survival (Fig. 2c). To strengthen the biological evidence on the impact of WDR26 and MTF2 in MM, we complemented the KD data shown in Fig. 1i–k with loss-of-function studies using CRISPR-Cas9 engineered gene knockouts

(KO) in myeloma cells (Fig. 2d). WDR26 or MTF2 deficiency compromised the growth of myeloma in both bulk suspension (Fig. 2e) and clonogenic soft-agar culture (Fig. 2f). KO led to a significant increase in apoptotic cell death measured with the help of annexin V immunoreactivity (Fig. 2g, h). In vivo studies using HMCLin-mouse xenografts added further confidence to these results: WDR26 or MTF2 deficient tumors grew more slowly than their normal counterparts (Fig. 2i, j) and thus permitted longer survival of host mice (Fig. 2k). Employment of GFP as reporter of malignant growth produced similar results; e.g., the abundance of tumor cells in the bone marrow of mice harboring WDR26 or MTF2 deficient myeloma was cut in half compared to controls (Fig. 2l, m).

In conclusion, this study used a sensitized forward genetic screen in laboratory mice to nominate WDR26 and MTF2 as candidate myeloma genes. WDR26 is a component of the CTLH complex that is mutated or upregulated in many solid cancers [9]. WDR26 has not been implicated in blood cancers, yet its significance as therapeutic target in carcinomas has been recognized [10]. MTF2, an accessory unit of the PRC2 complex involved in gene repression and growth promotion of various cancers [11], is a validated molecular target in AML [12]. MTF2 is new in myeloma, but PHF19, another accessory unit of PRC2, has emerged as a major player in MM [8, 13–15]. Both MTF2 and PHF19 are preferentially overexpressed in high-risk myeloma. Additional research is warranted to elucidate the oncogenic networks of WDR26 and MTF2 in myeloma because this may point to new avenues for molecularly targeted treatments and preventions.

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 2 Clinical and biological validation of WDR26 and MTF2 in myeloma. a WDR26 (top) and MTF2 (bottom) expression levels (GSE 2658 and 5900 datasets) in bone marrow plasma cells from healthy individuals (BMPC, n = 22) or patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS, n = 44), smoldering myeloma (SMM, n = 12) or frank myeloma (MM, n = 559). MM data are from GSE2658, all others from GSE5900. b Comparison of mean mRNA levels of WDR26 (top) or MTF2 (bottom) in patients with standard-risk myeloma (SR, n = 690) or high-risk myeloma (HR, n = 287), using data from the Multiple Myeloma DREAM Challenge study. C Overall survival (OS) of patients with myeloma in the MMRF CoMMpass study stratified according to WDR26 (top) or MTF2 (bottom) message levels in malignant plasma cells. The top guartile (n = 194, red) and bottom quartile (n = 194, blue) are compared. HR, hazard ratio. d Western analysis of WDR26 (left) or MTF2 (right) in normal (N) or gene-targeted (KO) myeloma cell lines, OPM2, H929 and MM1.S. KO protocols including gRNA sequences are available upon request. e Growth of HMCLs in bulk suspension culture. Cells deficient in WDR26 (blue) or MTF2 (red) are compared to parental cells (black) used as control. f Clonogenic growth of OPM2 (top), H929 (center) and MM1.S cells (bottom) lacking WDR26 (blue) or MTF2 (red) or containing the proteins (black). Representative images of soft-agar plates are shown to the left. The bar diagram to the right displays mean colony numbers ± SD based on three independent experiments. g Representative flow cytometric scatter plots of apoptotic death (red, labeled rectangles) of WDR26 or MTF2 deficient HMCLs compared to normal cells. h Mean values of apoptosis based on three independent measurements. Standard deviations are indicated by short vertical lines (\*\*\*p < 0.001). i Bioluminescence images of NSG mice on days 10, 20, 30 and 40 following challenge with OPM2 cells (upper panel) or H929 cells (lower panel) deficient of WDR26 (center column) or MTF2 (right column). Parental cells proficient of these proteins served as control (left column). j Quantitative analysis of bioluminescence signal strength in mice from h. k Kaplan–Meier survival curves of mice depicted in h. Statistical comparison relied on log rank analysis. I Flow cytometry histogram distinguishing GFP-expressing tumor cells in the bone marrow of xenotransplanted mice from h (smaller peaks, right) from bone marrow cells not expressing GFP (larger peaks, left). m Abundance of GFP-expressing tumor cells in the bone marrow of mice from **h** 



#### Abbreviations

AAD: 7-Aminoactinomycin D; AML: Acute myeloid leukemia; CML: Chronic myeloid leukemia; CIS: Common retroviral insertion site; CTLH: Carboxy-terminal to LisH; GFP: Green fluorescent protein; HMCL(s): Human myeloma cell line(s); LTR: Long terminal repeat; KD: Knockdowr; KO: Knockout; LTR: Long terminal repeat; MM: Multiple myeloma; MMRF: Multiple Myeloma Research Foundation; MOL4070LTR: Moloney derived MuLV; MTF2: Metal response element binding transcription factor 2; MuLV: Murine leukemia virus; NGS: Next-generation sequencing; PC(s): Plasma cell(s); PRC2: Polycomb repressive complex 2; WDR26: WD repeat-containing protein 26.

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13045-021-01217-9.

Additional file 1. Fig. S1. Identification of proviral integration sites and candidate driver genes. Genomic DNA was extracted from malignant tissues harvested from MOL4070LTR-infected mice. Approximately 1 µg of genomic DNA was then digested using either Msel or Nlalll. Next, 200 ng of digested DNA was ligated to double-stranded adaptors (Nlall linker: 5'-GTA ATA CGA CTC ACT ATA GGG CTC CGC TTA AGG GAC CAT G-3' and 5'-Phos-GTC CCT TAA GCG GAG-C3spacer-3', Msel linker: 5'-GTA ATA CGA CTC ACT ATA GGG CTC CGC TTA AGG GAC-3' and 5'- Phos-TAG TCC CTT AAG CGG AG-C3spacer-3'). Following adaptor ligation, DNA was digested with EcoRV to eliminate the internal proviral fragment (indicated by red cross). EcoRV-digested DNA was then amplified (primary PCR) using primers annealing to the adaptor (5'-GTA ATA CGA CTC ACT ATA GGG CTC CG-3') and the proviral LTR (5'-GCT AGC TTG CCA AAC CTA CAG GTG G-3'). PCR products were diluted 1:50 in sterile water. Two microliters of diluted PCR product was re-amplified (secondary PCR) using nested primers annealing to the adaptor (5'-AGG GCT CCG CTT AAG GGA C-3') and proviral LTR (5'-CCA AAC CTA CAG GTG GGG TCT TTC-3'). Amplicons from the second round of PCR were purified to remove unincorporated primers and nucleotides and directly sequenced on an Illumina platform. Raw sequences were trimmed to remove adaptors and viral sequences and mapped to the mouse reference genome. Candidate driver genes were identified using Monte Carlo simulation as previously described (PMID: 21931803).

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr. Linda Wolff, NCI, NIH for providing the batch WV523 of MOL4070LTR used in this study. We are grateful to Drs. Herbert C. Morse III, NIAID, NIH, and Alicia Olivier, University of Iowa, for help with tumor diagnosis and classification. We wish to acknowledge expert technical assistance from MCW Cancer Center core facility staff, especially Galina Petrova, Flow Cytometry Shared Resource, and Donna McAllister, Biomedical Imaging Shared Resource.

#### Authors' contributions

FS and YC performed in vitro and in vivo studies, carried out bioinformatic and statistical analyses, designed the figures, and wrote the manuscript. AD and JDR performed NGS studies and determined CIS. WD performed the tumor induction study, harvested and shipped tissue specimens, and took care of animal husbandry. MP performed Pubmed searches and edited the manuscript. JD contributed to experimental procedures and data analytical approaches. BM and FZ provided infrastructure support and understanding of genetic and biological pathways of mouse plasmacytoma and human myeloma. PH provided infrastructure support and insights into myeloma treatment and prevention. SJ conceived and supervised the study and edited the figures and the manuscript. All authors contributed to reading and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was supported by NCI R01CA151354 and the William G. Schuett, Jr., Multiple Myeloma Research Endowment to SJ. Additional support was provided by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research to BM and WD; by the Advancing a Healthier Wisconsin Endowment and Medical College of Wisconsin Cancer Center to JD; and by NCI R01CA236814, DoD CA180190 and the Riney Family Multiple Myeloma Research Program to FZ.

#### Availability of data and materials

Detailed information on materials and methods used, including KD and KO primer sequences, are available from the corresponding author upon request.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Studies involving laboratory mice were approved by the institutional IACUC and performed in accordance with prevailing guidelines for the welfare and use of animals in cancer research. Because analysis of clinical data did not contain any personally identifiable information from any sources and did not require approval of the Institutional Review Board, informed consent is not applicable.

## **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 Watertown Plank Road, MFRC 6033, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA. <sup>2</sup> Department of Anatomy & Cell Biology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA. <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Cancer Biology and Genetics, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA. <sup>4</sup>Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Immunology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA. <sup>5</sup> Medical College of Wisconsin Cancer Center, Milwaukee, WI, USA. <sup>6</sup> Myeloma Center, Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, and Winthrop P. Rockefeller Cancer Institute, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, USA.

#### Received: 27 September 2021 Accepted: 25 November 2021 Published online: 07 December 2021

#### References

- 1. van de Donk N, Pawlyn C, Yong KL. Multiple myeloma. Lancet. 2021;397:410–27.
- Ranzani M, Annunziato S, Adams DJ, Montini E. Cancer gene discovery: exploiting insertional mutagenesis. Mol Cancer Res. 2013;11:1141–58.
- Duncan K, Rosean TR, Tompkins VS, Olivier A, Sompallae R, Zhan F, Tricot G, Acevedo MR, Ponto LL, Walsh SA, et al. (18)F-FDG-PET/CT imaging in an IL-6- and MYC-driven mouse model of human multiple myeloma affords objective evaluation of plasma cell tumor progression and therapeutic response to the proteasome inhibitor ixazomib. Blood Cancer J. 2013;3:e165.
- Janz S, Müller J, Shaughnessy J, Potter M. Detection of recombinations between c-myc and immunoglobulin switch alpha in murine plasma cell tumors and preneoplastic lesions by polymerase chain reaction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1993;90:7361–5.
- Misund K, Keane N, Stein CK, Asmann YW, Day G, Welsh S, Van Wier SA, Riggs DL, Ahmann G, Chesi M, et al. MYC dysregulation in the progression of multiple myeloma. Leukemia. 2020;34:322–6.
- Wolff L, Koller R, Hu X, Anver MR. A Moloney murine leukemia virusbased retrovirus with 4070A long terminal repeat sequences induces a high incidence of myeloid as well as lymphoid neoplasms. J Virol. 2003;77:4965–71.
- Morse HC 3rd, Anver MR, Fredrickson TN, Haines DC, Harris AW, Harris NL, Jaffe ES, Kogan SC, MacLennan IC, Pattengale PK, Ward JM. Bethesda proposals for classification of lymphoid neoplasms in mice. Blood. 2002;100:246–58.
- Mason MJ, Schinke C, Eng CLP, Towfic F, Gruber F, Dervan A, White BS, Pratapa A, Guan Y, Chen H, et al. Multiple Myeloma DREAM Challenge reveals epigenetic regulator PHF19 as marker of aggressive disease. Leukemia. 2020;34:1866–74.

- Huffman N, Palmieri D, Coppola V. The CTLH complex in cancer cell plasticity. J Oncol. 2019;2019:4216750.
- Napoli M, Li X, Ackerman HD, Deshpande AA, Barannikov I, Pisegna MA, Bedrosian I, Mitsch J, Quinlan P, Thompson A, et al. Pan-cancer analysis reveals TAp63-regulated oncogenic IncRNAs that promote cancer progression through AKT activation. Nat Commun. 2020;11:5156.
- Piunti A, Shilatifard A. The roles of Polycomb repressive complexes in mammalian development and cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2021;22:326–45.
- Maganti HB, Jrade H, Cafariello C, Manias Rothberg JL, Porter CJ, Yockell-Lelievre J, Battaion HL, Khan ST, Howard JP, Li Y, et al. Targeting the MTF2-MDM2 axis sensitizes refractory acute myeloid leukemia to chemotherapy. Cancer Discov. 2018;8:1376–89.
- Ren Z, Ahn JH, Liu H, Tsai YH, Bhanu NV, Koss B, Allison DF, Ma A, Storey AJ, Wang P, et al. PHF19 promotes multiple myeloma tumorigenicity through PRC2 activation and broad H3K27me3 domain formation. Blood. 2019;134:1176–89.
- 14. Boyle EM, Rosenthal A, Ghamlouch H, Wang Y, Farmer P, Rutherford M, Ashby C, Bauer M, Johnson SK, Wardell CP, et al: Plasma cells expression from smouldering myeloma to myeloma reveals the importance of the PRC2 complex, cell cycle progression, and the divergent evolutionary pathways within the different molecular subgroups. *Leukemia*. 2021 (Online ahead of print).
- Schinke CD, Bird JT, Qu P, Yaccoby S, Lyzogubov VV, Shelton R, Ling W, Boyle EM, Deshpande S, Byrum SD, et al. PHF19 inhibition as a therapeutic target in multiple myeloma. Curr Res Transl Med. 2021;69:103290.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

